

REMARKS

The specification was objected to for informalities. It appears that when the patent office electronically scans patent applications, the scanning is imperfect, and this imperfect scanning apparently is what is causing the "errors" to which the examiner refers. If the examiner would like applicant to submit another copy of the application as filed, please advise.

Claim 5 was objected to for imparticularity. Applicant requests reconsideration. The claims have been accordingly amended. Claim 1 was rejected as unpatentable over Syrjarinni in view of Bruckert in view of Schulz. Claim 2 was rejected as unpatentable over Syrjarinni in view of Bruckert in view of Schulz in view of Sugita. Claims 3, 4, and were allowed 6, but objected to as depending on a rejected base claim. Applicant requests reconsideration. Claims 1 and 2 have been canceled. Allowed claims 3-6 being in good form, applicant requests allowance of the claims.

Respectfully Submitted

*Derrick Michael Reid*

Derrick Michael Reid

Derrick Michael Reid, Esq.

The Aerospace Corporation

PO Box 92957 M1/040

Los Angeles, Ca 90009-2957

Reg. No. 32,096

///